The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PIERRE JEANVOINE, TANGUY MASSART, RAMON R. CUARTAS, ARMANDO R. RODRIGUES and JUAN-ANDRES NUNEZ HERNANDEZ MAILED

JUN 2 2 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/381,631

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, <u>Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, WILLIAM F. SMITH, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and KRATZ, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

Per Curiam.

On May 28, 2004, counsel for the appellant filed a Request for Continuation

Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114. Pursuant to the Notice entitled, "Request for

Continued Examination Practice and Changes in Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg.

50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a Request for

Continued Examination under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a

Appeal No. 2004-1028 Application No. 09/381,631

decision on appeal, "will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be deemed appropriate.

DISMISSED

GARY V. HARKCOM, Acting Chief
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
WILLIAM F. SMITH
Administrative Patent Judge

Peter F. Kratz
Administrative Patent Judge

PFK/cam

Application No. 09/381,631

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314